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7. Roman Amphorae from the Basilika and the Prytaneion

7.1 Introduction

In this short paper, I describe the stamped amphorae of the Roman period. In addition to the Greek Hellenistic stamped amphorae, only five Roman fragments were retained from the excavation. They belong to three Italian types. Similar ones – without stamps – have been found in other parts of Ephesus. I have also included in the catalogue one stamped amphora of early Roman imperial date from the region of Ephesus.

7.2 The Amphorae

The Agora F 65/66 type (AR 1) jar has the imprint of a gem with the profile of a youth head. The fragment comes from a type of one-handled jar that was first isolated in the fill of Cistern N19:1 (ROBINSON’s group F) in the Athenian Agora. This type is the earliest form of a series which was produced from the middle of the first century BC to the fourth century AD. The two-handled version was in production from the fourth until the sixth century AD. These vessels rarely have stamps; however, there is another stamp on a jar of this type found in excavation in the Tetragonos Agora in Ephesus. The Agora F 65/66 vessels have micaceous fabric. Some of them, including AR 1, were probably made near Ephesus. The one-handled vessels are supposed to have been produced in number of workshops in the coastal areas of Asia Minor.

Two of the Italian wine amphorae belong to the Lamboglia 2 and one to the Dressel 6A types. The Lamboglia 2 amphorae have LICIN (AR 2) and DAM (AR 3) stamps. The rim of the Dressel 6A amphora has a S.L.E.[P] (AR 4) stamp. Both types of amphora contained Adriatic wine. The Lamboglia 2 amphorae were produced from the end of the second century BC to the last third of the first century BC when they were replaced by the Dressel 6A type. A Lamboglia 2 amphora with LICIN stamp was also found in excavations among the objects of the Tetragonos Agora. The stamps are not the same. The LICIN stamp is known from Italy and France, Vieille-Toulouse. The DAM stamp is known from Athens, Magdalensberg and perhaps Pompeii. The Lamboglia 2 amphorae were thought to have contained olive oil. However, tituli picti and the examination of amphorae from shipwrecks proved that they contained wine.

Some of the formal characteristics of the Dressel 6A amphorae are similar to those of the Lamboglia 2 amphorae. The fragments are hard to distinguish because even their fabrics are similar. The workshops must have been often the same. The S.L.E.[P] stamp (AR 4) is known from Italy (Aquileia, Padova, Calvatone) and
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Carthage\textsuperscript{11}. The stratified contexts and the painted consular inscriptions indicate that Dressel 6A amphorae were produced from the 30s BC until the 40s AD in the western Mediterranean\textsuperscript{12}. Two amphorae\textsuperscript{13} from Magdalenburg can be dated to AD 38 on the basis of the painted inscription, while another consular inscription\textsuperscript{14} suggests a date of AD 36. It would appear that Dressel 6A amphorae were not manufactured after the 40s of the first century AD. This is also confirmed by other archaeological information\textsuperscript{15}. The standard contents of the Dressel 6A amphorae are often debated. These amphorae contained wine (\textit{mulsum})\textsuperscript{16}. An exceptional inscription in Salzburg refers to fish sauce\textsuperscript{17}. Most Dressel 6A amphorae must have contained wine since the inner surface of many pieces is coated with resin, which is a distinctive feature of the wine amphorae.

A Dressel 2/4 amphora (AR 5) was found in the area of Basilika Stoa between the water supply and the Stylobate. This is a very small fragment with only a part of the stamp. The stamp has two lines: \textit{LIVI.CA VS... / SV.., [M.] Livi Caus[tri] / Su[r](us)}. The name on the stamp, Marcus Livius Caustrius, may belong to a well-known gens in the Naples bay area\textsuperscript{18} (Pompeii, Herculaneum, and Sorrento). On the handle there is another stamp which has the name of a slave: Sur(us). On other stamps of Marcus Livius Caustrius the name of another slave occurs: Amp(hio). The ampoule stamps of M.LIVI.CAVSTR also occur at Pompeii, Ostia, Carthage, Vindonissa, Masada (Israel), and Mathura (Uttar Pradesh, India)\textsuperscript{19}.

There is an interesting relationship between the Marcus Livius Caustrius amphora fragment and another Dressel 2/4 amphora fragment which was also found near the Staatsmarkt\textsuperscript{20}. This has the LICCA stamp, with the double C in ligature. These stamps are known in a number of places, but they co-occur together only on the ‘Gran Ribout D’ shipwreck\textsuperscript{21} along the French coast. The different types of amphora and the Arretine ware date the wreck to the last decade of the first century BC\textsuperscript{22}.

There is only one Lamboglia 2 handle fragment with Latin stamp (AR 6) among the amphorae of the Prytaneion. The CADMVS (A–D in ligature) stamp is known from Magdalenburg and Italy\textsuperscript{23}. The amphorae with the DAM and CADMVS stamps belong to the late period of the of the Lamboglia 2 type. This explains their presence at Magdalenburg. The CADMVS stamp in Ephesus is the same as the one at Magdalenburg. The fragment is so small that there is no other way of comparison.

These amphorae contained wine, with the possible exception of the Agora F65/66 type, the content of which is not known. The Italian amphorae in the Basilika Stoa represent types that are fairly frequently found at Ephesus. There are more Lamboglia 2 amphorae in the Tetragonos Agora than other types from the western Mediterranean. Dressel 6A and Dressel 2/4 amphorae are also present in Ephesus, both in the Hanghaus 2 and in the Tetragonos Agora, but never in great numbers\textsuperscript{24}.

A number of Italian and Punic wine and olive oil amphorae reached Ephesus from the end of the second century BC. The variety of products and imported amphora types grew considerable during the Augustan period. For example, in addition to wine and oil, fish sauce came from Spain and northern Africa and, fruit arrived from southern Italy. However, the proportion of the imported foodstuffs, estimated on the basis of the western amphorae compared with the total assemblage, may not have been more than 10 to 20 percent. Most of the amphorae came from the neighbourhood regions and from the western coast of Asia Minor, and very few came from mainland Greece, Crete, Egypt and Cyprus.
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7.3 Catalogue

AR 1 Agora F65/66 (Taf. 13 und 64)
InvNr. 7137, Ki 25/1968
FO: Basilika, S 4/68
Erh.: Handle frg.
Stempel: figural, head in a profile
Farbe: red (10YR 5/6), hard, fine micaceous fabric, small white
inclusions
Maße: H = 2.7 cm, HSt = 3.4 x 1.7 cm

AR 2 Lamboglia 2 (Taf. 13 und 64)
Ki 62/1964
FO: Basilika
Erh.: Rim neck, handle frg.
Stempel: LICIN
Farbe: pink (5YR 7/4–7.5YR 7/4); surface: very pale brown
(10YR7/3)
Maße: HDm = 18.1 cm, RSt = 2.7 cm, Hh = 3.4 cm,
H = 14.5 cm

AR 3 Lamboglia 2 (Taf. 13 und 64)
InvNr. 7115, Ki 94/1968
FO: Basilika, S 8/68, –1.7 m
Erh.: Rim neck, handle frg.
Stempel: DAM, A–M ligature
Farbe: pink (7.5YR 7/4–8/4)
Maße: HDm = 13.8 cm, RSt = 2.6 cm, Hh = 5.2 cm,
H = 14.5 cm

AR 4 Dressel 6A (Taf. 13 und 64)
Ki 50/1964
FO: Basilika, B 2 N-Teil 33.55 m
Erh.: Rim, neck frg.
Stempel: S.L.E.[F]
Farbe: very pale brown (10YR 8/4)
Maße: HDm = 20 cm, RSt = 2.6 cm, Hh = 4.6 cm, H = 8.4 cm

AR 5 Dressel 2/4 (Taf. 13 und 64)
InvNr. 1029
FO: Basilika
Erh.: Handle frg.
Stempel: ...LIVI.CAVS... / SV... ' [M.] Livi Caus[tri] / Su[r](us)’
Ton: ‘black sand’ fabric25, with visible black and green volcanic
grains; the surface is of rough, soft fabric
Farbe: red (10R 5/6); surface: pinkish white–pinkish gray (7.5YR
8/2–7/2)
Maße: H = 5.1 cm, HSt = 5.1 x 2.6 cm

AR 6 Lamboglia 2 (Taf. 13 und 64)
Ki 6i/1969
FO: Prytaneion, S 26/69
Erh.: Handle frg.
Stempel: CADMVS (A–D in ligature)
Farbe: red (10R 5/8)
Maße: H = 4.2 cm